

# **AN UNDERSTANDING OF “RELATIONSHIP” IN THE NEW TESTAMENT CHURCH**

**James W. Garrett**

## **PART ONE: The Relationship to the Local Church**

**Romans 16**

**The Focus of the N.T.**

**Specific Passages**

**The Responsibility Churches felt for One Another**

**Conclusion**

## **PART TWO: Mutual Dependence**

**Dependency upon God**

**Reliance on the endowments of the H.S. in others**

**Ephesians 4:16**

**Conclusion**

## **PART THREE: Mutual Submission**

**Ephesians 5:21**

**Restricting Freedom because of a brother's weak conscience**

**Conclusion**

**Restricting Freedom because of a brother's weakness/sin**

**Conclusion**

**Humble Service to One Another**

## **PART FOUR: Responsibility and Accountability**

**Responsibility**

**Hebrews 10:23 (exhorting one another)**

**Galatians 6:1-3 (restoring a fallen one)**

**I John 3:17-18 (finances)**

**Honesty**

**Accountability**

## **PART FIVE: Forgiveness and Reconciliation**

**Matthew 5:23-24 (when we are the offender)**

**Matthew 18 (when we are offended)**

**I Corinthians 6 (going to court)**

**A better way**

**Terms: Ekklesia, Polis, and related terms**

**Philippians**

**I Peter**

**I Corinthians 13**

All Scripture quotations, unless otherwise noted, are from the New American Standard Bible ®  
© Copyright the Lockman Foundation 1960, 1962, 1963, 1968, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1975, 1977.  
Used by permission

© Copyright 1997 Doulos Press, Tulsa, Oklahoma. This article is copyrighted in order to protect against improper use of the material contained therein. Permission is hereby granted to anyone wishing to make copies for free distribution.

# AN UNDERSTANDING OF, "RELATIONSHIP," IN THE NEW TESTAMENT CHURCH

James W. Garrett

The New Testament term, *koinonia*, usually translated, "fellowship," is the umbrella term which covers all relationships in the New Testament Church. Love, mutual accountability, church membership, spiritual authority, church discipline, benevolent activity, community; these and many other terms describe various facets of *koinonia* in the church. This paper will examine the New Testament Church expressions of *koinonia*. The goal of this study is to gain an understanding of proper relationships within the church, thus providing a standard whereby the manifestations of relationship in our contemporary local churches might be evaluated. Having written extensively in previous conclave papers on the subject of spiritual authority, and having distilled much of the material in our recently published book,<sup>1</sup> it would be redundant to repeat that material here. Therefore, we will not deal with the topic of spiritual authority in this paper.

## PART ONE: THE INDIVIDUAL BELIEVER'S RELATIONSHIP TO THE LOCAL CHURCH

The concept, so popular today, that one can be a Christian without a living relationship with a local church, is in stark contrast to the Christianity pictured in the New Testament. Except for those called to itinerant ministry, New Testament Christians were integrated into a local church. The New Testament presents this picture of First Century Christians through several displays.

### DISPLAY #1: Romans 16:1-16

- *I commend to you our sister Phoebe, who is a servant of the church which is at Cenchrea; that you receive her in the Lord in a manner worthy of the saints, and that you help her in whatever matter she may have need of you; for she herself has also been a helper of many, and of myself as well.*
- *Greet Prisca and Aquila, my fellow workers in Christ Jesus, who for my life risked their own necks, to whom not only do I give thanks, but also all the churches of the Gentiles;*
- *also greet the church that is in their house.*
- *Greet Epaphroditus, my beloved, who is the first convert to Christ from Asia.*
- *Greet Mary, who has worked hard for you.*
- *Greet Andronicus and Junias, my kinsmen and my fellow prisoners, who are outstanding among the apostles, who also were in Christ before me.*
- *Greet Ampliatus, my beloved in the Lord.*
- *Greet Urbanus, our fellow worker in Christ,*
- *and Stachys my beloved.*
- *Greet Apelles, the approved in Christ.*
- *Greet those who are of the household of Aristobulus.*
- *Greet Herodion, my kinsman.*

- *Greet those of the household of Narcissus, who are in the Lord.*
- *Greet Tryphaena and Tryphosa, workers in the Lord.*
- *Greet Persis the beloved, who has worked hard in the Lord.*
- *Greet Rufus, a choice man in the Lord, also his mother and mine.*
- *Greet Asyncritus, Phlegon, Hermes, Patrobas, Hermas and the brethren with them.*
- *Greet Philologus and Julia, Nereus and his sister, and Olympas, and all the saints who are with them.*
- *Greet one another with a holy kiss. All the churches of Christ greet you.*

The chapter begins with Paul's commendation of Phoebe, described as "a servant of the church at Cenchrea." Even though Phoebe was visiting Rome and had contact with the Roman Church, her identity was as a member of the Cenchrean Church.

The next fourteen verses (3-16) are punctuated with the constant refrain, "greet...greet...greet." Paul urges the Roman Church to extend his greetings to twenty-six individuals and to five groups. The picture clearly is one of a church that had a sense of community. These people knew each other and were involved with one another.

Three house-churches are mentioned:

Vs 3-5 the house-church that met in the home of Prisca and Aquila

V 14 the house-church that included Asyncritus, Phlegon, Hermes, Patrobas, Hermas and the brethren with them.

V 15 the house-church that included Philologus, Julia, Nereus, his sister, Olympas, and all the saints who are with them.

At the heart of the Christian faith is its meeting, the assembly of the faith community. The early Christians, like the Jews, met in open places (Pliny, *Letters*, 17; Acts 16:13,16) and in hired halls (Acts 19:9). However, it seems that the most common meeting place was in the homes of believers (Acts 2:46; 12:12; etc.) The earliest example of a church building to be discovered by archaeologists is a house in the city of Dura-Europos (located in modern Syria). The original house was remodeled to accommodate a house-church between 232 and 256, the year that Dura-Europos fell to the Sassanians.<sup>2</sup> Churches located in homes are specifically mentioned six times in Scripture. In addition to the three instances before us, reference also is made to house-churches in I Corinthians 16:19; Colossians 4:15; and Philemon 2. In such house-churches, intimacy, identity, and bonding would be the expected norm.

Two other groups of interest to our study are mentioned in Romans 16:10-11. These verses probably do not refer to house-churches. Notice that neither Aristobulus nor Narcissus are greeted. The Greek says, "Greet those of the Aristobulus," and "Greet those of the Narcissus." The KJV translators added the word, "household," in an effort to make the sentences more understandable to English readers. Most modern translations followed suit. However, the Greek text does not contain the word for "household," (οἰκεῖος, οἰκέτης, οἰκία, οἰκιακός, are the Greek terms that express various concepts of a household, all derivatives of οἶκος, meaning, "house").

The custom in Rome was for the slaves of a deceased prominent figure to be referred to thereafter, as "the (name of deceased master)," regardless of who owned them in the future. For example, the famous Roman historian Livy (BC 59 - AD 17), had among his slaves the Maecenatiani (slaves from the household of the deceased Maecenas), Amyntiani (slaves from the household of the deceased Amyntas), Agrippiani (slaves from the household of the deceased Agrippina), and Germaniciniani (slaves from the household of the deceased Germanicus).

The consensus of classical New Testament scholars, especially from Lightfoot onward, is that the language of verses 10 & 11 *ton aristoboulou* (τῶν Ἀριστοβοῦλου), "the of Aristobulus," possibly, (some would say, "probably") refers to the slaves of the deceased grandson of Herod the Great, Aristobulus.<sup>3</sup> Aristobulus was a friend and political supporter of Emperor Claudius. Because of his nationality, Aristobulus' household slaves presumably would have included Jews and other Palestinians. Upon his death, the normal thing would have been for his slaves to have been added to the slaves of the Imperial household. In that setting, these would have been called, "those of Aristobulus."<sup>4</sup>

The same would be true of the *ton narkissou* (τῶν Ναρκίσσου), "the of Narcissus." This Narcissus probably was the wealthy and influential freedman who was the secretary to Emperor Claudius. It was at his orders that Claudius' wife, Messalina, was put to death. Two years after Nero succeeded Claudius, Agrippina, Nero's mother, fearing the threat of Narcissus' political power, ordered the execution of Narcissus. Nero would have confiscated Narcissus' slaves and added them to his household.

Interestingly, in between these two groups is mentioned Paul's kinsman, Herodion. Both by his name and the fact that he is Paul's kinsman, we know that Herodion was a Jew. Since he is mentioned in conjunction with the *of Aristobulus*, he probably was one of the Jewish/Christian slaves of Aristobulus that were transferred to the ownership of the Caesar.

The thing to observe in all of this is that even though brothers and sisters were slaves in the palace of Caesar, they were known and were considered to be a part of the local church.

## **DISPLAY #2: The Focus of the New Testament**

The focus of the New Testament, from Acts onward, is the Church:

- Even though the first twelve chapters of Acts tell the story of Peter's leadership and the last sixteen tell the story of Paul's ministry, Acts is the story of the first years of the church.

*Acts 2:41 so then, those who had received his word were baptized; and there were added that day about three thousand souls.*

*Acts 2:47 praising God, and having favor with all the people. And the Lord was adding to their number day by day those who were being saved.*

*Acts 4:4 But many of those who had heard the message believed; and the number of the men came to be about five thousand.*

Acts 4:32 *And the congregation of those who believed were of one heart and soul; and not one of them claimed that anything belonging to him was his own; but all things were common property to them.*

Acts 5:14 *And all the more believers in the Lord, multitudes of men and women, were constantly added to their number;*

Acts 6:1-2a *Now at this time while the disciples were increasing in number, a complaint arose on the part of the Hellenistic Jews against the native Hebrews, because their widows were being overlooked in the daily serving of food. And the twelve summoned the congregation of the disciples and said...*

Acts 8:3 *But Saul began ravaging the church, entering house after house...*

Acts 9:19b *Now for several days he was with the disciples who were at Damascus,*

Acts 9:31 *So the church throughout all Judea and Galilee and Samaria enjoyed peace, being built up; and going on in the fear of the Lord and in the comfort of the Holy Spirit, it continued to increase.*

These are sufficient examples, but one could continue through Acts and find the same phrases and emphasis over and over again.

- Most of the second person pronouns in the epistles are plural, indicating that groups are addressed, rather than individuals. This understanding makes a large difference in how one views chapters such as I Corinthians 12-14. For example, the exhortation, *But earnestly desire the greater gifts*,<sup>5</sup> is a second person plural exhortation<sup>6</sup>. Therefore, the exhortation is not that individual believers should desire the greater gifts, but that the church should desire that these greater gifts be present in their body. Such a difference in understanding removes ambition from the individual to a realm of a plea to God to supply to the local church those manifestations that will enable it to be all that it can be.
- Romans, I & II Corinthians, Galatians, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, and I & II Thessalonians, were written to churches in defined geographical areas.
- I & II Timothy and Titus were written to Paul's delegates who were left in Ephesus and Crete, respectively, to complete the organization of local churches. These letters were instructions concerning the work of church planting.
- Hebrews, James, and I & II Peter were written to Jewish churches. Hebrews probably was written to the Church in Jerusalem. The epistles of James and Peter were written to those who had fled Jerusalem (James 1:1; I Peter 1:1-2; II Peter 3:1). That these were written to groups rather than individuals, not only is known by the salutation, but also is verified by the tenor of the instruction.
- The First Letter of John was written to instruct the Asian church that was plagued with Nicolaitanism and Gnosticism.
- Third John, written to John's friend, Gaius, contains strong words concerning an ambitious leader in the church and the need for the church to extend hospitality to itinerant ministries.

- Jude is written to the church to warn of evil men who are seducing the church into error. Jude calls them "spots in your feasts of love" (v12), implying that these people contaminate the holy love that should be present in the communal life of the church.
- The Revelation is written to seven churches in Asia (1:4, etc.).

Thus, the only exceptions to the Church's being the focus of the Acts-Revelation portion of the New Testament are Philemon and II John. Many argue that II John is written to the church, under the figure of the "elect lady" (v1). Philemon, even though not written to the church or about the church, contains a greeting to the church that met in the house of Philemon (v2).

There is no evidence in the New Testament of any Christian's living an individualistic life, totally apart from the church, except for those who were condemned for schismatic activity.<sup>7</sup>

### **DISPLAY #3: Specific Passages**

- Passages such as Ephesians 4:1-16 and Romans 12 imply that the reason for a believer's existence is to function organically in the Church. To this end, First Corinthians 12:7 informs us that the manifestations of the Spirit (commonly called "gifts of the Spirit") are given to each individual Christian, for "the common good," not for the personal benefit of the individual believer<sup>8</sup>. These and similar passages of Scripture are absurd if an independent and unattached life is acceptable for believers.
- "Greet one another with a holy kiss," an exhortation found in five of the epistles<sup>9</sup>, could have been written only to a group.
- Hebrews 10:23-31 exhorts believers to be faithful to church gatherings and warns that failure to be consistent in such attendance puts one in jeopardy of damnation.
- Hebrews 13:17 urges believers to be obedient to their leaders. This type of language has no meaning apart from a local church that is under the oversight of recognized leaders.
- Acts 20:28 contains Paul's commission to the Ephesian elders mandating their ministry of oversight and shepherding the Ephesian flock. Again, if believers were not committed to a local flock under recognized leaders, giving such a commission to the Ephesian elders would be an empty gesture.

### **DISPLAY #4: The Responsibility Churches felt for One Another**

That local churches recognized one another and felt responsibility for one another is illustrated by the group mentality of the New Testament.

- One manner in which this group to group responsibility was exercised was in commending various itinerant believers to one another:

We already have encountered Phoebe, in Romans 16:1-2. These verses record the Cenchrean Church's commendation of Phoebe to the Roman Church.

Acts 18:24, 27-28 informs us that the Ephesian church commended Apollos to the brethren in Achaia. Again, here is an example of the community of the saints in one place recognizing the community of saints elsewhere. No independent individualistic Christianity is displayed here. As a matter of fact, it seems that Apollos came to Ephesus as a bit of an independent with a distorted (at least incomplete) Gospel. After Priscilla and Aquilla correctly instructed Apollos in the way of God, he left Ephesus an independent no longer, but one who had a relationship with the Church.

- Another manner in which group to group responsibility was demonstrated was in benevolent care for one another:<sup>10</sup>

Acts 11:22-26 contains the record of the Jerusalem Church's sending Barnabas to Antioch to help in the establishment of the new church in that city. Again, one group caring about the health of another group.

Acts 11:27-30 is the first example of one group's sending money to another group. The money was given to the elders, the overseers of the local church in Jerusalem.

One of Paul's main activities on his third missionary journey was the collection of a benevolent offering for Jerusalem. He gathered this fund from his Macedonian and Achaian churches. Each church was to select a delegate to accompany the funds to Jerusalem. In some cases, Paul had to cajole<sup>11</sup>, but in other cases, he was overwhelmed by the generosity of his churches<sup>12</sup> (First Corinthians 16:1-4; II Corinthians 8:1-9:15; Romans 15:25-31; Acts 20:4; 24:17). Individuals who were members of churches in Macedonia and Achaia, brought their offerings to the Sunday service and gave to the "Jerusalem Fund," which was forwarded to the church leaders in Jerusalem.

Again, in these cases, all aid and concern was for a group and was administered by a group. There is no hint of the individualism and entrepreneurial style that has grown in prominence in the final two decades of 20th Century Christianity.

## CONCLUSION

It is clear from these displays and others that we could cite, that the biblical assumption is that every believer, except those called to itinerant ministry, had a relationship with a local church. Wild geese, in normal circumstances, tend to be a part of a flock; it is the nature of the species. The same could be said of Christians.

Even those in itinerant ministries were in these ministries because of a "call" that was recognized by the churches. We do not find examples of valid itinerant ministries in the New Testament that began when a believer just decided that he was called to go forth and minister. Where there is sufficient detail in the account to inform us of the origin of a ministry, it always was in the context of a group.

Sometimes, but not always, itinerant ministries had a home base church. Antioch might be regarded as that church for Paul on his first two missionary journeys.<sup>13</sup> On his third journey, Paul left Antioch and from that time onward had no home base. By this time he was well known by all of the churches and had the respect of scores of churches throughout the Gentile world, many of which he had been instrumental in planting. His credibility had been established. By the pattern of Paul's third missionary journey, as well as other itinerant ministries mentioned in the New Testament, it is apparent that even when an itinerant ministry did not have a reporting relationship with a particular church, those in that ministry felt organically connected to each local church in particular and to the universal church in general.

## **PART TWO: MUTUAL DEPENDENCY**

One of the characteristics of believers portrayed in the New Testament is lack of self-confidence and self-sufficiency. The model set before us is one of dependency upon God. Describing himself, Paul said,

*I have been crucified with Christ; and it is no longer I who live, but Christ lives in me; and the life which I now live in the flesh I live by faith in the Son of God, who loved me, and delivered Himself up for me.*<sup>14</sup>

*And such confidence we have through Christ toward God. Not that we are adequate in ourselves to consider anything as coming from ourselves, but our adequacy is from God, who also made us adequate as servants of a new covenant, not of the letter, but of the Spirit;*<sup>15</sup>

*But by the grace of God I am what I am, and His grace toward me did not prove vain; but I labored even more than all of them, yet not I, but the grace of God with me.*<sup>16</sup>

*For through the grace given to me I say to every man among you not to think of himself more highly than he ought to think; but to think so as to have sound judgment, as God has allotted to each a measure of faith.*<sup>17</sup>

One expression of dependency upon God is the reliance upon the endowments of the Holy Spirit, resident in one's fellow believers. It seems as if God made every believer deficient in one or more areas. Thus, each of us is required to access the endowments of others in the areas of our weakness. Each Christian needs his fellows to fulfill his own service to Christ.

This truth is the core message of I Corinthians 12. As already noted, I Corinthians 12:7 states, *But to each one is given the manifestation of the Spirit for the common good.* Paul then attaches a list of some of the examples of manifestations of the Spirit that the Corinthians were experiencing (vv 8-11).



In I Corinthians 12, Paul uses the human body as a figure for teaching the co-dependency of believers, because of the distribution of spiritual manifestations.

- 12 For even as the body is one and *yet* has many members, and all the members of the body, though they are many, are one body, so also is Christ.
- 13 For by one Spirit we were all baptized into one body, whether Jews or Greeks, whether slaves or free, and we were all made to drink of one Spirit.
- 14 For the body is not one member, but many.
- 15 If the foot should say, "Because I am not a hand, I am not *a part* of the body," it is not for this reason any the less *a part* of the body.
- 16 And if the ear should say, "Because I am not an eye, I am not *a part* of the body," it is not for this reason any the less *a part* of the body.
- 17 If the whole body were an eye, where would the hearing be? If the whole were hearing, where would the sense of smell be?
- 18 But now God has placed the members, each one of them, in the body, just as He desired.
- 19 And if they were all one member, where would the body be?
- 20 But now there are many members but one body.
- 21 And the eye cannot say to the hand, "I have no need of you"; or again the head to the feet, "I have no need of you."
- 22 On the contrary, it is much truer that the members of the body which seem to be weaker are necessary.

Paul concludes this part of his argument by asking a series of rhetorical questions:

- 29 All are not apostles, are they? All are not prophets, are they? All are not teachers, are they? All are not *workers of* miracles, are they?
- 30 All do not have gifts of healings, do they? All do not speak with tongues, do they? All do not interpret, do they?

The Greek construction of these questions indicates that Paul expected a negative answer. In a question of this type, the question can begin with the Greek negative *ou* (οὐ), which would mean that the questioner expected a positive answer, or with the negative *me* (μή), indicating that the questioner expected a negative answer<sup>18</sup>. Paul knew that the reply to each of his questions would be, "no." Thus, no believer has it all and each believer needs what other believers have.

In addition to the list in I Corinthians 12, there is a shorter list in Romans 12:6-8 and still a briefer list in I Peter 4. In these lists, certain gifts are referred to by more than one name. By comparing these lists, there seem to be sixteen or seventeen gifts listed. Although these gifts may be found in clusters in a single individual, equipping him for a certain ministry, no one has them all. Therefore, Peter urges, *As each one has received a special gift, employ it in serving one another, as good stewards of the manifold grace of God,*<sup>19</sup> echoing Paul's declaration, *But to each one is given the manifestation of the Spirit for the common good.*<sup>20</sup>

Ephesians 4:16 is a key verse in understanding the mutual dependency among believers,

*...we are to grow up in all aspects into Him, who is the head, even Christ, from whom the whole body, being fitted and held together by that which every joint supplies, according to the proper working of each individual part, causes the growth of the body for the building up of itself in love.*

Several things in this statement relate to our topic. First, the Church is spoken of as a body, *fitted and held together*. In our life in the body, every member is important. Christ intends for us to be fitted into the body where we function together with others for the good of the body. It is not God's intention for believers in a given locality to be autonomous elements occupying adjacent space. Instead, believers are like the pieces of a jigsaw puzzle whose protrusions and indentations fit together to form a beautiful picture of a completed church.

A second key thought is found in the word, *haphe* (ἄφή), translated, "joint," in most versions. The word means, "bond, connection, etc." So, since Paul is using the body as a figure for explaining the local church, the term, "ligament," or "joint," is a legitimate translation. However, because of the nature and function of a joint in a physical body, most readers of the English New Testament will miss the implications of what Paul is saying here. In order to understand the implication of *haphe* in this verse, the term, *epichoregia* (ἐπιχορηγία) also must be understood. *epichoregia* carries the sense of supplying something of substance<sup>21</sup>. A problem for us in translating *haphe* as "joint," is that the joints in our bodies do not "supply." Therefore, I would suggest that the term be translated, "point of contact." Thus, at every point of contact between the members of the body, something of life and nourishment is exchanged.

With this understanding of Ephesians 4:16, it becomes apparent that a believer who does not blend his life into the lives of his fellow believers will have an anemic spiritual life. Not only that, he will be depriving his fellow believers of the spiritual nourishment that they have a right to expect the Spirit to supply through him.

## CONCLUSION

Each Christian lives a life of dependency upon Christ, and that dependency is met by the Holy Spirit. The Holy Spirit supplies our lack in three ways:

- through His indwelling presence.
- through spiritual manifestations and spiritual gifts given to us.
- through the spiritual gifts and manifestations of the Spirit operating in the lives of our brothers and sisters with whom our lives have been linked.

It is important that we do not defraud one another, by isolating ourselves from the Body of Christ. To do so is to deprive the Body of Christ, in general, and our brothers and sisters in particular, of the spiritual resources that God desires to be supplied through us.

## PART THREE: MUTUAL SUBMISSION

The oft quoted Ephesians 5:21 states, *and be subject to one another in the fear of Christ*

This verse is the final of four co-ordinate clauses.<sup>22</sup> The passage encompasses verses 18-21:

- 18 And do not get drunk with wine, for that is dissipation, but be filled with the Spirit,
- 19 speaking to one another in psalms and hymns and spiritual songs, singing and making melody with your heart to the Lord;
- 20 always giving thanks for all things in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ to God, even the Father;
- 21 and be subject to one another in the fear of Christ.

Verse 18 contains the exhortation, "be filled with the spirit." Verses 19-21 contain four co-ordinate clauses that describe the behavior that grows out of being Spirit-filled.

- The first co-ordinate clause describes conversation filled with devotional content: *speaking to one another in psalms and hymns and spiritual songs*.

*psalmos*: a religious song, especially one accompanied by a musical instrument<sup>23</sup>.

*hymnos*: a song of praise.

*oide*: a general term for all kinds of songs, secular or sacred, accompanied or *a cappella*.

*pneumatikais*: This describes the *oide* as being inspired by the Holy Spirit.

- The second clause speaks of a melody in the heart: *singing and making melody in your heart to the Lord*.<sup>24</sup> A couple of comments need to be made here. First, this statement often is viewed as an exhortation to have a melody in the quietness of the heart. How does this fit with *speaking to one another in psalms and hymns and spiritual songs*? I don't believe that it does. It seems to me that, among other things, this phrase urges sincerity of heart in the singing mentioned above. One thing that causes me to reach this conclusion is the nature of the Greek terms translated, "in your heart." The Greek term, "heart," is singular in this phrase. However, the Greek term, "your," is plural. If the individual heart is referenced here, we would expect the Greek to say, "in your hearts" (both *your* and *heart* being plural) or "in your heart" (both *your* and *heart* being singular). The interesting mix of plural and singular here, causes us to conclude that Paul is referring to the heart of the congregation. The sense of this phrase could be two-fold:
  - (a) there should be a melody in the corporate heart;
  - (b) congregational singing must come from the heart, rather than something done by rote. Of course, such congregational singing could occur only if each individual in the congregation sang with sincerity.
- The third clause describes constant thanksgiving: *always giving thanks for all things in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ to God, even the Father*. Note that "always" is not

attached to the first two clauses, but it is to this one. One who is "Spirit-filled" will have a constant attitude of gratitude.

- The fourth co-ordinate is mutual submission: *and be subject to one another in the fear of Christ*. This is the only place in the New Testament that the expression, "in the fear of Christ," occurs. Reverence for the Lord, Himself, was the spirit in which mutual submission was to be fulfilled.

Thus, a "Spirit-filled" person has a submissive spirit.

What does it mean to be mutually submitted to one another? Paul helps us to understand the concept by giving some examples:

- (1) husbands and wives 5:22-33
- (2) parents and children 6:1-4
- (3) slaves and masters 6:5-9

In each of these examples, one party to the relationship is in the cultural place of power and the other in the cultural place of subordination. In each example, Paul first addresses those in the place of subordination:

- *Wives, [be subject] to your own husbands, as to the Lord.*
- *Children, obey your parents in the Lord, for this is right.*
- *Slaves, be obedient to those who are your masters according to the flesh, with fear and trembling, in the sincerity of your heart, as unto Christ.*

Paul urges these who are in the place of submission to be reverently submissive, rather than chaffing and having rebellious hearts.

Paul then speaks to those in the cultural place of power:

- *Husbands, love your wives, just as Christ also loved the church and gave Himself up for her;*
- *And fathers, do not provoke your children to anger; but bring them up in the discipline and instruction of the Lord.*
- *And masters, do the same things to them, and give up threatening, knowing that both their Master and yours is in heaven, and there is no partiality with Him.*

Those in the place of power submit to those under them in two ways:

- (1) by lovingly and gently functioning in their roles of oversight; giving respect to their subordinates and viewing them as being their equals in the sight of God;
- (2) by providing for the needs of their subordinates.

This same paradigm is displayed in Scriptures that speak of the relationship between church leaders and those under their charge. For example, Hebrews 13:17 enjoins church members,

*Obey your leaders, and submit to them; for they keep watch over your souls, as those who will give account.*

Leaders, on the other hand, must remember how Jesus, the model shepherd, described the heart of the good shepherd, *the good shepherd lays down His life for the sheep.* (John 10:11)

A good shepherd, surrenders his life in service to the needs of the flock, and in some cases that means death or danger.

Note that the mutual submission in these cases is not mutual in respect to authority:

- The subordinate submits to authority.
- The person of authority submits by adopting an attitude of humility and respect toward those under his charge and gives his energy and resources toward the care of those under his charge.

Thus, Scripture is very clear concerning mutual submission between leaders and subordinates, but what about mutual submission between all Christians, without regard to authority or power position? Is Betty Brown, Sunday school teacher, in some way to submit to Jane Jones Sunday school teacher? If so, how?

The co-ordinate clause of Ephesians 5:21 is of plenary application. Mutual submission is a trait of all Spirit-filled believers, regardless of position or role in society or the church. The Christian heart portrayed in this picture is of Christ's servants who live for one another, rather than for themselves. We are reminded of the chorus of an old church song,

*Others, Lord, yes, others.  
Let this my motto be.  
Lord let me live for others,  
That I might live for Thee.*

We now will explore some of the ways that Christians mutually submit to one another.

### **MUTUAL SUBMISSION MEANS RESTRICTING ONE'S PERSONAL FREEDOM BECAUSE OF A FELLOW BELIEVER'S WEAK CONSCIENCE**

There are various reasons for, and manners in which, the believer will restrict his own freedom out of concern for his brother. The first that we will note is out of respect to a fellow believer's conscience.

One's conscience is developed and programmed by many factors. Therefore, conscience is an imperfect guide as to right or wrong. For example, one who has lived his whole life among cannibals, would not have a troubled conscience about killing and eating another human being, especially one who is a member of another tribe. Conversely, one who was taught all of his life that playing cards is wrong would be troubled if he participated in a card game. Conscience is a lot like a warning signal at a railroad crossing. If there is a short in the circuitry on the rail, then

the signal will flash when there is no train on the track, causing automobile traffic to stop, even though there is no danger. On the other hand, there can be a break in the circuitry, so that the signal does not flash when a train is rushing toward the intersection. As a result of the defective signal, automobiles will cross the rails, erroneously thinking that there is no danger. Then, there are some drivers who just tend to ignore the signal and rush on through the crossing. Some of these end up dead or injured. The wise and prudent thing to do when encountering a warning light at a railroad track, is to stop when the signal says to do so, even if the signal is wrong.

In the same way, some consciences have been developed in a manner that causes them to be troubled when no wrong is involved; some are placid when evil is being practiced; and some learn to ignore their consciences, which in time causes the conscience to lose any power of restraint.<sup>25</sup>

Even though conscience is an imperfect measure of right and wrong, it is an inner facility that God created in man as a guide and protection. It is wrong and foolish to violate one's conscience, even if the conscience is troubling us over something that is innocent. That being true, no Christian should tempt someone to violate his own conscience. This is the subject of Romans 14.

Most interpret the one, "weak in faith," in Romans 14 as a brother who is narrow minded, perhaps a babe in Christ; he probably is bigoted and has no appreciation of the freedom that we have in Christ. The strong brother, in this understanding, is a mature Christian who has his feet on the ground and understands the beauty of Christian freedom. The problem with this view is that it makes the church subject to every "crank" who happens to wander in. This interpretation also violates Paul's clear declaration in Colossians 2:16-17,

*Therefore let no one act as your judge in regard to food or drink or in respect to a festival or a new moon or a Sabbath day - things which are a mere shadow of what is to come; but the substance is Christ.*

Let me present another view.

As background for our study of Romans 14, we first will examine I Corinthians 8 and 10. In these two chapters of I Corinthians, Paul discusses two questions:

- (1) Should Christians eat meat that has been sacrificed to idols;
- (2) Should Christians participate in the social meals that were held in the temples of idols?

## I Corinthians 8

This chapter addresses the responsibility that one has for a brother who has a weak conscience. By, "weak conscience," Paul is referring to one whose conscience bothers him about something, but his conscience is not strong enough to keep him from doing it. So, he violates his conscience and by so doing "defiles" his conscience. Since he has chosen to do what he considers to be evil, he has chosen evil, thus committing a sin. The discussion which follows doesn't make a lot of sense unless we understand that the meat offered in the markets of Corinth came from the temples. These were sacrifices of meat that had been given to the idol. The meat in turn was sold in the meat market. So, almost any meat bought in the market had been dedicated to an idol. The choice for Corinthian Christians was, "Eat meat that had been sacrificed to an idol or be a vegetarian."

Here is how Paul's argument in I Corinthians 8 is constructed:

**(vs 4-5)**

There is only one God. The idols represent gods who have no real existence. The many religions of the world have many gods and lords, but they are false gods and are not real.

**(v 6)**

For Christians, there is only one God, the Father, who made all things and we exist for him. There also is one Lord, Jesus Christ, who also was involved in the creation (Note that we exist "for" God the father, but we exist "through" Jesus Christ).

**(v7)**

Unfortunately, not everyone is able fully to come to this understanding. Some, because of their background, view meat that has been dedicated to an idol as if there were some relationship with the idol god (which really does not exist). Even though their conscience bothers them when they eat this meat, their conscience is not strong enough to stop them. So they violate their conscience and defile it.

**(v8)**

Eating or not eating various foods is irrelevant to our relationship with God.

**(v9-10)**

Christians who have a true understanding of these matters, can eat meat sacrificed to idols without a troubled conscience. However, if weak-conscience believers whose conscience bothers them in this matter, see conscience-free Christians eating this meat, the weak-conscience believer will be encouraged to violate his conscience.

**(v11)**

These weak-conscience believers who follow the example of conscience-free Christians, and go ahead and eat this meat with a troubled conscience, are ruined. This is tragic, because Christ died for the one who has been ruined by violating his conscience.

**(v12-13)**

The conscience-free believer who exercises his liberty to the destruction of a conscience-troubled believer, has sinned, because he has brought about the destruction. Not only has he sinned against his brother, but he also has sinned against Christ.

**(v14)**

This being true, Paul said that he would give up anything that would cause a brother with a weak conscience to stumble (violate his conscience).

**I Corinthians 10:14-33**

In these verses, Paul deals with the question of participating in idol feasts and how to conduct one's self when dining in the home of an unbeliever. Again, let's follow his argument:

**(vs14-22)**

These verses deal with the topic of fleeing from idolatry. Paul uses two analogies:

- (1) the Lord's Supper;
- (2) the eating of Old Testament sacrifices.

The cup of blessing was the third cup of the Passover feast (the cup consumed after the meal). This is the cup that Jesus took after supper (I Corinthians 11:25) and instituted the Lord's Supper. Jesus reinterpreted the meaning of this cup so that it pointed to the shedding of His blood on the cross. Jesus took a sheet of unleavened bread and broke it and distributed it, signifying that it represented His body. Thus, as Christians partake of the one loaf (Christians throughout the world partake of the unleavened bread symbol of the body of Christ), all are one in Christ.<sup>26</sup>

The priests of the Old Testament had a fellowship with the altar, through their eating of the sacrifices offered on the altar.<sup>27</sup> Paul cites this as evidence that the Christian has fellowship with Christ when he partakes of his sacrifice, through the loaf and cup of the Lord's Supper.

Even though the worshippers of idols are deceived in thinking that they are worshipping a god, in reality they are worshipping demons masquerading as gods (Deut. 32:17). Christians cannot drink from the Lord's cup and the demon's cup. The conclusion of this argument is that such action will arouse the Lord to jealousy. Paul asks them if they are stronger than Christ, and thus able to survive a confrontation with Him? The conclusion is that it is wrong for Christians to participate in idol feasts, even though the food eaten is not contaminated in any way.

**(vs 23-33)**

Paul uses their own argument to show the unchristian character in their argument. Thus, in verses 23-24, Paul points out that the true test of right and wrong, in spiritually neutral but disputed matters, is whether or not an action is beneficial, spiritually, to one's fellows.

In verses 25-26 Paul reiterates the spiritual neutrality of food, stating that everything in the world, including all food, belongs to God. He repeats Psalm 24:1, which is a traditional Jewish prayer before meals. The fact that something may have been offered in a temple before being sold is overridden by the prayer of Psalm 24:1.



Verses 27-29 explain how to function in the midst of a religious pluralism. If a believer is invited to the home of an unbeliever, he should eat everything set before him, without having any qualms. However, if his host, out of courtesy to the Christian's religion, points out that the meat on the table had been sacrificed to an idol, assuming that Christians would not partake of such, then the believer should not partake. He does not refuse to partake because of his own conscience, but because of the host's conscience (his belief that it would be wrong for a Christian to so partake). Again, Paul emphasized the point that the scruples and needs of one's neighbor circumscribe the Christian's actions.

**Verses 30-33** give the broad parameters within which Christians should operate in society.

- (vs30-31)** Believers are free to partake of all things with thanksgiving (I Timothy 4:1-5) and to God's glory.
- (vs32)** No one, inside or outside of the church, should be made to stumble by a believer's behaviour.
- (vs33)** Paul had set the example in this and he urged the Corinthians to follow his example (11:1).

What do we conclude about these passages, as far as our quest is concerned? In First Corinthians 10:14-22, Paul came to grips with the inherent right or wrong of the matter and made it clear that Christians should not participate in idol feasts. Thus, the weaker brother in I Corinthians 8 was doctrinally right (even though for the wrong reasons). In I Corinthians 10:25, the stronger brother is doctrinally right, concerning food bought in the market.

With this background, we turn to Romans 14, defining the weaker brother as Paul so defined him in the passages in I Corinthians. "Weak in faith," refers to one who does not have the strength of his convictions to abstain from partaking of those things that trouble his conscience. A man who has the moral courage to die for what he believes, is a strong man (It is important to realize that there is a difference between a strong man and a man with a hardened heart).

**(vs2-3)**

We are to accept each other, even though we might disagree on matters of opinion. The vegetarian must recognize his kinship with the meat eater. The meat eater must recognize his kinship with the vegetarian.

**(v4-12)**

God will not reject the meat eater because of the vegetarian's feelings. Nor will he reject the vegetarian because of the opinion of the meat eater. The important thing in all of this, whether partaking or abstaining, is that it is done with a heart that glorifies God. It is to God that we will give account, not to one another.

**(v13)**

Christian love says to not judge one another in these secondary matters, but be more concerned about not tempting someone to violate his conscience.

**(v14)**

Paul does not speak of moral cleanliness or the lack thereof. He speaks here of the ceremonial aspects of clean and unclean.

Anyone who views an act or an object as unclean, dirty, etc., to him it is unclean and he will be held accountable to God for violating his conscience and participating in evil (note verse 23).

**(v15-21)**

Here again is the exhortation to consider the impact of our behaviour upon those about us. Our liberty, properly understood, can be destructive to others. If so, we should curb our liberty.

**(v22-23)**

Each man should have his own understanding of what is good and what is evil<sup>28</sup>. If a man feels that something is all right and can eat, drink, or do, with a thankful heart, he is happy and God is blessed.

However, if a man feels that something is wrong and goes ahead and does it, even if his assessment is incorrect, he has sinned, because he has chosen to do that which he views as evil. Anyone who chooses evil sins.

## **CONCLUSION**

There are many things that "religion" declares to be taboo, even though such labelling has no basis in the New Covenant. However, even if such an assessment is incorrect, those who accept the taboo as being correct, must not violate the taboo. To do so is sin.

Those who know that the taboo is incorrect, are free to ignore it, unless they are in a situation where those who accept the taboo would be drawn into a violation of their conscience. If one is in the presence of a believer who has a strong but misinformed conscience (one that is strong enough to be immune to temptation), the doctrinally correct believer's behaviour is not as circumscribed.

To cause a believer to violate his conscience is to cause him to sin, and the one who prompted the violation has sinned against his brother and against Christ.

Therefore, in the area of mutual submission, we submit to one another's weaknesses, by circumscribing our liberty when it becomes a danger to a fellow believer.

### **MUTUAL SUBMISSION MEANS RESTRICTING ONE'S PERSONAL FREEDOM BECAUSE OF A FELLOW BELIEVER'S WEAKNESS TOWARD SIN**

Because so much has been written and preached on this particular aspect of restricting our freedom there is little reason to belabor the subject. So, we limit our comments here to but a statement and a slight elaboration of the principle.

When one is born again, he is cleansed from the guilt of sin and delivered from enslavement to sin<sup>29</sup>. However, sin is tenacious and as long as the Christian is in this life, he will be the object

of temptation and will, from time to time, stumble, either in attitude or deed. This is clear in the First Letter of John, written to born again believers:

*If we say that we have no sin, we are deceiving ourselves, and the truth is not in us. If we confess our sins, He is faithful and righteous to forgive us our sins and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness. If we say that we have not sinned, we make Him a liar, and His word is not in us. My little children, I am writing these things to you that you may not sin. And if anyone sins, we have an Advocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous; (I John 1:8-2:1)*

Individual believers are prone to some sins, but not to others. For example, picture a man who is in the check out line at a super market. The clerk is called away from the cash register for a few moments and leaves the cash drawer open. The man who is left standing beside the register has to fight hard to resist reaching into the drawer and taking a few dollars, unnoticed. As he leaves the store, he walks by a porno movie theatre and is not even tempted to look at the advertisements on display. Another man, in that same situation, may not be tempted one bit by the money, but upon leaving the store, he has to struggle to avoid yielding to the temptation of the porno theatre.

Both stealing and lust are sins. Both of these men were tempted to sin in their individual area of weakness. Both seemed to be immune to temptation in the other area.

A believer who enjoys a glass of wine with his evening meal, and never is tempted toward drunkenness, does not sin in drinking wine. However, if he has a brother who fights a weakness toward alcohol, the moderate brother should not serve wine at the evening meal if the alcohol-weak brother were his dinner guest. To do so, might cause the alcohol-weak brother to fall into sin.

Jesus said,

*It is inevitable that stumbling blocks should come, but woe to him through whom they come! It would be better for him if a millstone were hung around his neck and he were thrown into the sea, than that he should cause one of these little ones to stumble. (Luke 17:1-2)*

The Greek word for, "stumbling block," used here is, *skandalon*, which has as its literal reference the bait stick on a trap. Thus, it could be translated, "snare." It would refer to any sinful act or habit that knowingly or unknowingly lures others into sin. In Matthew and Mark, this statement of Jesus is reported as being made toward children. In Luke, the statement is made in a different setting. This is the sort of statement that Jesus probably repeated from time to time as he spoke to different audiences. "Little ones," could refer to children, to those young in the faith, or as the NAS margin suggests, "humble ones."

I John 2:9-10 states,

*The one who says he is in the light and yet hates his brother is in darkness until now. The one who loves his brother abides in the light and there is no cause for stumbling in him.*

Indeed, one who loves his brother will not be a *skandalon* to his brother. Not only in matters of conscience, which we discussed in the previous section, but in areas of real temptation, we will not be occasions of stumbling to those whom we love. We will circumscribe our lives in such a way so that our strength will not be a snare to one for whom Christ died.

## CONCLUSION

Individual Christians are tempted in different areas of weakness. When a believer is with another believer who has a weakness in a given area, the stronger believer will be sensitive to his brother's weakness. He will not participate in activities with the weaker believer that would cause him to fight temptation, or even fall into sin.

### **MUTUAL SUBMISSION IS MANIFESTED THROUGH LOVING AND HUMBLE SERVICE TO ONE ANOTHER**

Galatians 5 contrasts a life lived under the Law and a life lived in freedom. In the first twelve verses of this chapter Paul emphasizes that the freedom which is theirs in Christ ought not to have been so lightly despised. Then, in the last fourteen verses of the chapter, he points out that being delivered from the Law, Christians are free "to do the right thing." The true meaning of living in freedom is defined. A part of Paul's definition is making a distinction between living by the desires and impulses of the flesh, versus living under the control of and at the impulse of the Holy Spirit.

Paul describes the deeds of the flesh in verses 19-21:

19 *Now the deeds of the flesh are evident, which are; immorality, impurity, sensuality,*  
 20 *idolatry, sorcery, enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes, dissension,*  
*factions,*  
 21 *envying, drunkenness, carousing, and things like these, of which I forewarned you just*  
*as I have forewarned you that those who practice such things shall not inherit the*  
*kingdom of God.*

Note that the list is not complete. Paul states that traits listed are specific examples of "things like these."

In the middle of this horrible list are, "enmities, strife, jealousy, outbursts of anger, disputes, dissension, factions, and envying." These terms describe those who do not have a humble, loving spirit. Unfortunately, some of these terms describe the behaviour found prevailing in many congregations today.

This is in sharp contrast to Paul's exhortation a few verses earlier (vs 13),

*For you were called to freedom, brethren; only do not turn your freedom into an opportunity for the flesh, but through love serve one another.*

Here is an important aspect of mutual submission, "through love serve one another." The proud cannot serve; the insensitive don't think about it; the self-centered expect to be served. So many people who otherwise are fine citizens in the Kingdom do not have a servant's heart. They are easy to identify, when we are with them for a while. They never open the door for someone else. They are discourteous. They can watch people carrying things without offering to help (assuming that they have the health to do so). They can attend a church dinner and never help clean up the tables, without being asked. Nor would they be found in the kitchen helping to wash the dishes. They don't mind asking for others to do things. If they are "in ministry," they consider themselves too busy to spend time helping others with menial tasks. Often they are so goal-oriented that they, like the priest and Levite in the parable of the good Samaritan, rush along on the other side, rather than get side tracked in someone's need.

Sometimes the best way to describe something is to describe what it is not. The above paragraph is an attempt to do that. Humble and loving servants of Jesus are humble and loving servants of the other servants. To those who were concerned about greatness in the Kingdom, Our Lord said,

*You know that the rulers of the Gentiles lord it over them, and their great men exercise authority over them. It is not so among you, but whoever wishes to become great among you shall be your servant, and whoever wishes to be first among you shall be your slave; just as the Son of Man did not come to be served but to serve...<sup>30</sup>*

"Whoever wishes to be first among you shall be your slave," is strong language for independent Americans. However, such an attitude is God's measure of greatness in the Kingdom.

One of the most beautiful descriptions of a humble and loving spirit is found in Philippians 2:1-8:

- 1 *If therefore there is any encouragement in Christ, if there is any consolation of love, if there is any fellowship of the Spirit, if any affection and compassion,*
- 2 *make my joy complete by being of the same mind, maintaining the same love, united in spirit, intent on one purpose.*
- 3 *Do nothing from selfishness or empty conceit, but with humility of mind let each of you regard one another as more important than himself;*
- 4 *do not merely look out for your own personal interests, but also for the interest of others.*
- 5 *Have this attitude in yourselves which was also in Christ Jesus,*
- 6 *who, although He existed in the form of God, did not regard equality with God a thing to be grasped,*
- 7 *but emptied Himself, taking the form of a slave, and being made in the likeness of men.*

*8 And being found in appearance as a man, He humbled Himself by becoming obedient to the point of death, even death on a cross.*

There is another side of the coin. Receiving the service of one's fellow believers also is an indication of a humble and loving spirit. Some always want to be givers. By doing so, they feel good about themselves. They cannot be receivers, either because of pride, or because of some sense of failure at not being able to take care of themselves. This is the same spirit that has trouble accepting God's grace. The flesh loves to remain important by earning points with heaven. However, the humble spirit that acknowledges the inability to achieve all things, do all things, and carry all things, is pleasing to God. Such a humble spirit is needed to receive service from one's brothers. However, if that spirit is not one that usually serves, it probably is not a loving, humble spirit.

## **PART FOUR: MUTUAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

In Part Three we noted the responsibility of believers to make certain that their freedom in Christ was not exercised in a manner that was destructive to other believers. We now will look at other ways in which mutual responsibility and accountability are expressed.

### **RESPONSIBILITY**

There are many ways in which the responsibility that believers bear for one another expresses itself.

#### **Hebrews 10:23-27:**

*23 Let us hold fast the confession of our hope without wavering, for He who promised is faithful;  
24 and let us consider how to stimulate one another to love and good deeds,  
25 not forsaking our own assembling together, as is the habit of some, but encouraging one another; and all the more, as you see the day drawing near.  
26 for if we go on sinning willfully after receiving the knowledge of the truth, there no longer remains a sacrifice for sins,  
27 but a certain terrifying expectation of judgment, and the fury of a fire which will consume the adversaries.*

Again, the background to this passage is important. When The Epistle to the Hebrews was written, the Hebrew church had been in existence for several decades. The expected soon return of Jesus had not taken place. The fires of early passion for Christ and the New Covenant had been banked. The Hebrew church had entered the season described by contemporary church growth students as "the middle aged church." As a result, some of the members of the Hebrew church were being drawn back into Judaism. The beauty of the Temple, the familiar and beautiful ceremonies, the sacrifices and the priesthood, as well as the family expressions of Judaism, these were their roots and they had begun to miss them. Thus, the concern of Hebrews

is "falling away" back to Judaism and the Law. Evidently, some Jerusalem Christians had turned back to the religion of their fathers.

This letter was written to remind the Hebrew Christians of the superior covenant that they had with Jesus Christ. They were reminded that the ceremonies, the Temple, and all of the institutions associated with the Old Covenant were but temporary shadows and types of the new and final covenant given through Jesus. The expressions, "we have something better," and, "superior," are frequent terms in Hebrews.

The section before us speaks of the importance of faithful attendance at church meetings. The potential for falling away (Hebrews 6) and willful sin (Hebrews 10:26) was a growing reality in their fellowship. Consistent participation in church meetings, where believers encouraged and exhorted one another was prescribed as a prophylactic against the threat of falling away (returning to Judaism) and willful sin.

With this example and exhortation before us, we are reminded of the importance of being faithful to the meetings of the local church. One of the roles of the church meeting is that believers might encourage one another in those meetings.

An oft overlooked aspect of meeting attendance is the encouragement that is given by our presence and the discouragement that is given by our absence. Besides the Holy Spirit, nothing adds more force to a Sunday meeting than a full house. In spite of the Holy Spirit, many Christians are discouraged when seats are empty or those who customarily are present are conspicuous by their absence. In some denominations in some countries, "church attendance" was a duty and salvation depended on a certain minimal annual attendance. Such a works mentality is not the New Testament mentality. One's salvation may be in jeopardy because he rarely attends worship services, but it is not because he has not met the minimal requirement. It is because he is not giving and receiving the life that flows in the mutual encouragement that takes place in such meetings.

Therefore, totally apart from the aspect of glorifying God, we should not attend church meetings out of a sense of duty as much as out a sense of participating in the high privilege of being an encouragement to our fellow believers.

The attitude of believers toward worship and prayer meetings should be one of giving. Each one comes eager to give to God and to brothers and sisters. Unfortunately, too many attend with the attitude of getting. If all came with the attitude of giving, everyone would experience to the fullest the benefit of the meeting. Each would give and each would receive. Thus, one way in which mutual responsibility for one another is exercised is by participating in church gatherings.

### **Galatians 6:1-3:**

- 1 *Brethren, even if a man is caught in any trespass, you who are spiritual, restore such a one in a spirit of gentleness; each one looking to yourself, lest you too be tempted.*
- 2 *Bear one another's burdens, and thus fulfill the law of Christ.*
- 3 *For if anyone thinks he is something when he is nothing, he deceives himself.*

To begin with, we must determine the meaning of "caught in any trespass." One view is that Paul describes a case in which a brother in Christ is suddenly seized upon by the enemy and led into some act of sin. Thus, his guilt is somewhat diminished. Although the Greek term translated, "caught" ("overtaken," in the KJV) permits this view, the surrounding language tends toward another understanding. The, "in any trespass," *en tini paraptomati* can better be taken to refer to the place where the overtaking happened rather than to the means or the agent of it. Therefore, the idea is, "Brethren, even if a man be actually detected in the act of sin..." The Greek further emphasizes the extreme nature of the event by using the expression, *ean kai*, meaning "even if." The picture is of a believer who is caught in the very act of sin, therefore, his guilt is apparent.

There is a softening of the situation, however. The term translated, "trespass," is *paraptoma*, which has the idea of falling. The intimation is that the sinner is found in something that is not a deliberately planned sin, but something that he fell into. This does not mitigate his guilt, however.

It should be noted that the situation described in Galatians 6:1 is not like the one in I Corinthians 5. The Corinthian church member judged by Paul in I Corinthians 5 was practicing incest, quite openly. In that situation, Paul prescribed excommunication with a view to causing repentance and ultimate restoration. In the Galatians 6 situation, gentleness and a more immediate restoration seems to be in view.

The point of all of this is that even if one is caught in the act of some sin, he is to be dealt with tenderly and in a spirit of meekness. This can be done only by those who are "spiritual." The spiritual man is led by the Holy Spirit in this very delicate work. The spiritual man is not even to judge, for even the spiritual are subject to temptation. So the instruction to restore through gentle action is followed by a warning to not consider one's self beyond experiencing the same fall.

One other thing is important to note. The term, "restore," is *katartidzo*. This word is used frequently in Scripture. It is a term that can refer either to the original framing of a mechanism or the replacement of something in a mechanism. Here, the erring brother is to be restored to his place in the body.

Two things stand out, with reference to our mutual responsibility for one another. First, we are responsible for restoring the fallen. We cannot look the other way and be pleasing to God. Second, our actions must be done with gentleness. Criticism and faultfinding will be put aside.

We are to bear one another's burdens.

### **I John 3:17-18,**

*17 But whoever has the world's good, and behold his brother in need and closes his heart against him, how does the love of God abide in him?*

*18 Little children, let us not love with word or with tongue, but in deed and truth.*



James 2:14-17 expresses the same truth as a part of the discussion of faith and works,

- 14 *What use is it, my brethren, if a man says he has faith, but he has no works? Can that faith save him?*  
 15 *If a brother or sister is without clothing and in need of daily food,*  
 16 *and one of you says to them, "Go in peace, be warmed and be filled," and yet you do not give them what is necessary for their body, what use is that?*  
 17 *Even so faith, if it has no works, is dead, being by itself.*

Christians do bear a responsibility for the financial well being of one another. The Jerusalem Church, in the first days of Christianity modeled this beautifully.<sup>31</sup> Note the description of their communal living in the early chapters of Acts:

#### **Acts 2:44-45**

- 44 *And all those who had believed were together, and had all things in common;*  
 45 *and they began selling their property and possessions, and were sharing them with all, as anyone might have need.*

#### **Acts 4:32,33-37**

- 32 *And the congregation of those who believed were of one heart and soul; and not one of them claimed that anything belonging to him was his own; but all things were common property to them.*  
 34 *For there was not a needy person among them, for all who were owners of land or houses would sell them and bring the proceeds of the sales,*  
 35 *and lay them at the apostles' feet; and they would be distributed to each, as any had need.*  
 36 *And Joseph, a Levite of Cyprian birth, who was also called Barnabas by the apostles (which translated means, "Son of Encouragement"),*  
 37 *and who owned a tract of land, sold it and brought the money and laid it at the apostles' feet.*

This does not mean that there is no responsibility for believers to try to work and provide for themselves and their families. Paul addresses this strongly in II Thessalonians 3:

- 10 *For even when we were with you, we used to give you this order: if anyone will not work, neither let him eat.*  
 11 *For we hear that some among you are leading an undisciplined life, doing no work at all, but acting like busybodies.*  
 12 *Now such persons we command and exhort in the Lord Jesus Christ to work in quiet fashion and eat their own bread.*

Paul wrote to Timothy that those who did not provide for their own households were worse than infidels (I Timothy 5:8).

The care of widows especially is a concern of the church. The controversy in the church that produced the first deacons had to do with the proper care of widows (Acts 6). After the church had a few years of history, Paul outlined requirements for widows who were to be supported by the Church. I Timothy 5 contains a rather detailed list:

- She has no family to care for her (vs 3-4, 8, 16)
- She gives herself to prayer, rather than to wanton pleasure (vs 5-6)
- She is over 60 years old (v 9)
- She has been the wife of one man (v 9)
- She has a reputation for good works (v 10)
- She has brought up children (v 10)
- She has shown hospitality to strangers (v 10)
- She has washed the saints feet (v 10)
- She has assisted those in distress (v 10)
- She has devoted herself to every good work (v 10)

The Scriptural principles are clear. Everyone should work and do all that he can to provide for himself and for his relatives. The Church is instructed to not support those who are not willing to work nor those who have some means of support other than the Church. However, if there are those, who, because of circumstances (perhaps even of their own making), are not able to support themselves, then their fellow believers have a responsibility to help them financially. One way to help financially might be to give some counsel about finances, while providing finances.

**Another responsibility for one another is to be truthful in our conversations. We quote two passages that speak directly to this issue:**

*Do not lie to one another, since you laid aside the old self With its evil practices.*  
(Colossians 3:9)

*Therefore, laying aside falsehood, speak truth, each one you, with his neighbor, for we are members of one another* (Ephesians 4:25)

Jesus, describing those who hypocritically opposed him, said,

*You are of your father the devil, and you want to do the desires of your father. He was a murder from the beginning, and does not stand in the truth, because there is no truth in him. Whenever he speaks a lie, he speaks from his own nature; for he is a liar, and the father of lies.* (John 8:44)

Therefore, even though there may be situations in which the flesh may incline one toward deception, Satan is behind it all. Christians should be scrupulous in accuracy in their speech. Exaggeration and deception have no place in a Christian's conversation.

There also is a responsibility to speak accurate doctrine in conversation. Paul addresses this in Ephesians 4:14-15

14 *As a result, we are no longer to be children, tossed here and there by waves, and carried about by every wind of doctrine, by the trickery of men, by craftiness in deceitful scheming;*

15 *but speaking the truth in love, we are to grow up in all aspect into Him, who is the head, even Christ.*

These verses have been used by Navigators and others to justify to "speaking into one another's lives." However, such a use of verse 15 is a misuse of the verse. Clearly, Paul is speaking about doctrinal truth, since that is the subject of the paragraph. Christians should be careful about the doctrinal content of their speech. Quite often, believers are not even aware of the fact that their conversation has doctrinal content. They pick up phrases from TV preachers or some other source and these become a part of their conversation. Often, when someone has nothing to say, but feels that he or she is expected to say something, a trite phrase is spouted. Those around them say, quietly or bombastically, "Amen." The phrase may not have correct doctrinal content, but no one thinks about it. Thus, subtle thinking patterns are adjusted to accommodate false doctrine.

We are in an age in which there seems to be two approaches to doctrinal accuracy. One approach is found in the camp of those who exist to expose heresy. These usually treat the offenders as enemies.

The other camp consists of most of the Charismatic Movement, which takes the view that we should not make an issue out of these matters because to do so is to divide the brethren. Such action is condemned as, "judging."

Scripture clearly takes the position that doctrine is important; that false doctrine is not to be ignored; that when addressing false doctrine, love must be the prevailing tone of the communication. For example, I John was written expressly to address the false doctrine that prevailed in the Asian churches of his day.

There were three major heresies that infected the First Century Church. These were Judaism, Nicolaitanism, and Gnosticism. Paul found himself in frequent conflict with the Judaizers. Some of his epistles were in response to the advocates of Judaism in the Early Church. John the Apostle outlived all of the other Revelatory Apostles. He settled in Asia Minor and wrote his Gospel and three epistles in the middle of the last third of the First Century. By this time, Christianity had become predominately a Gentile movement. Instead of having to deal with Judaizing teachers, John dealt with the new heresies that were troubling the church. These heresies, Nicolaitanism and Gnosticism, came from Greek culture.

- Judaism declared that in order to be a Christian, in order to be saved, one had to submit to the Law of Moses... in essence, to become a Jew. Paul spent his life contending that this was error. He emphasized that Christians are under a new covenant.
- Nicolaitanism became quite strong and plagued the church for almost 100 years. Its adherents claimed to be followers of Nicolas, the proselyte, one of the seven deacons chosen in Act 6. They told this story about their founder. He had a very beautiful wife.

After the ascension of Jesus, the apostles were jealous, and so, Nicolas brought his wife forth and said that anyone who wanted to marry her could have her. He said that "we must abuse the flesh." He himself never had any woman other than his wife, his sons and daughters were honorable. However, his followers, taking the expression, "We must abuse the flesh," began to do just that. They became very immoral, committed fornication without restraint and were described by Clement, one of the early Church leaders, as being "as dissolute as he-goats."

What this group did was take Paul's teaching that we are not under law, and pushed it to the extreme, disowning all moral obligation as something that pertained to the flesh, which was inappropriate for the spiritual man. Through debauchery they sought to destroy their bodies. To them, this was not sin, because it was a means of attaining a higher place, when the body was spent of its lustful forces.

This was a very popular movement. Huge numbers of Christians flocked to this sect. It is condemned in two of the letters to the seven churches mentioned in Revelation. It still was being addressed in the church 1000 years later.

- Gnosticism was the most dangerous enemy of the church during the first 150 years of its history. It was built on the premise that the spirit is good and that matter is evil. This being true, matter and spirit cannot have any enduring relationship. Therefore, salvation consists of escape from the realm of matter into the realm of the Spirit. There were numerous means of escape, the chief one being knowledge. In this special knowledge, called, "gnosis," known only by those who were initiated into the inner secrets of the group, man can rise above the earthbound chains of matter into heavenly apprehension of truth. Of course, since the body is matter, it cannot do good and so fornication, gluttony, and all other activities of the flesh are routine, but they do not touch the spirit of a man because the spirit is inherently good.

Many Greek Gnostics entered the church. Others, wanting to make Christianity acceptable to the intellectuals of the day, sought to conform Christian doctrine to Gnostic understandings. There were two main views of Christ held by different Gnostic groups in the First Century:

- a. Docetic Gnostics - Jesus did not really appear in the flesh, but only seemed to do so. (*dokeo* "to seem"). He was a divinely orchestrated illusion. He was a "hologram"... "a virtual reality" being.
- b. Cerinthian Gnostics - Jesus was the son of Joseph and Mary and was a human. The Christ-spirit inhabited the man, Jesus, at the time of his baptism, and left him before he went to the cross (this very heresy has been taught by some of the word/faith teachers in the last decade).

The Gospel of John and The First Epistle of John were written to combat these heresies. As one reads through I John, with the understanding of why John wrote it, it becomes a very polemical book. Galatians and I John are the most polemical books in the New

Testament. Yet, I John is a book full of love. The book contains 105 verses, 35 of these (1/3) deal with love. John's way to combat heresy is by correct, positive teaching, and with a heart of love.

## **ACCOUNTABILITY**

Turning from one's responsibility for fellow believers, to the topic of one's accountability to fellow believers poses a bit of a problem. The problem is that it is difficult to find passages that speak directly to the topic. We are in a day in which "accountability groups" are becoming increasingly popular. Great value has been seen in those groups where healthy relationships are fostered. Great harm has been seen in those groups where healthy relationships have not been fostered and where accountability structures have been abused. Since Clay addressed this topic in his paper on "Covenant," I will not add anything to the topic except for one proviso.

Accountability settings become abusive, even cultic, when the members of the group lose their personal autonomy. It is important in any setting, that each individual continue to be responsible for himself. If through brainwashing or other means, an individual loses the ability to disagree with those about him, or to challenge what he is being told to do, then something is amiss. Each Christian must retain the ability and right to do what he is told to do or refuse to do what he is told to do, on the basis of what he believes to be the will of God.

## **PART FIVE: FORGIVENESS AND RECONCILIATION**

In addition to the things said in the above sections relating to reconciliation, there is a personal responsibility that each believer bears for removing barriers between himself and other believers. We will examine the more prominent passages that deal with this topic.

### **Matthew 5:23-24**

*23 If therefore you are presenting your offering at the altar, and there remember that your brothers has something against you,  
24 leave your offering there before the altar, and go your way; first be reconciled to your brother, and then come and present your offering.*

These verses are in a section dealing with the sin of anger toward a fellow believer. Jesus said that if one is in the very act of presenting his offering at the altar, and there flashes through his mind the realization that he has done something that has provoked anger in a brother, he is not to go ahead and present the offering, then rush to his brother and make peace. No, he is to suspend the offering, leaving it in the hands of the priest, so to speak, and rush forth to make peace. After that, he may return and go on with the ceremony.

This verse speaks of the supreme importance of reconciliation. To interrupt the ceremony of presenting an offering at the altar, with those in line behind waiting their turn, was a very extreme thing to suggest. Yet, Jesus said that this must be done. By presenting such a radical

idea, Jesus emphasized that reconciliation with alienated brethren must be a priority for believers. Note that nothing is said about whether the anger is justified or not. The important thing, is to attempt reconciliation, if the apparent fault is on your part.

### **Matthew 18:15-18**

- 15 *And if your brother sins, go and reprove him in private; if he listens to you, you have won your brother.*
- 16 *But if he does not listen to you, take one or two more with you, so that by the mouth of two or three witnesses every fact may be confirmed.*
- 17 *"And if he refuses to listen to them, tell it to the church; and if he refuses to listen even to the church, let him be to you as a Gentile and a tax-gatherer.*
- 18 *"Truly I say to you, whatever you shall bind on earth shall be bound in heaven; and whatever you loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven.*

We face a bit of a problem with this passage. The KJV, and the family of manuscripts used for that version, reads, "if your brother sins *against you*." More recent scholarship has felt that the manuscript evidence indicates that "against you" was not in the original text. How one decides on the integrity of *eis se* (against you) will determine how this passage is viewed. "Against you," clearly makes the sin something that has been done against a particular brother. The injunction, in such case, would be for the offended brother to go to the offender and make things right.

On the other hand, if these two words were not in the original, then the sin could be something of a general nature, which every person in the Christian community might be obligated to deal with. Which ever view is taken, it is clear that some one has the responsibility to take the initiative.

In my opinion, the words of Jesus, with or without, *eis se*, were understood by the disciples as referring to a personal sin against an individual. I base my conclusion on Peter's response to Jesus words. Immediately after Jesus finished this discussion, Peter came up and asked Jesus,

*Lord, how often shall my brother sin against me and I forgive him? Up to seven times?"<sup>32</sup>*

In this passage, the words are clear, *eis me*, "against me." Peter understood Jesus to be talking about a personal affront, not some general sin. It is with that understanding that we look to this text.

The pattern is clear. Every effort should be made to keep the exchange private. The offended brother should not present the problem "for prayer" in his prayer group. If he is offended, his communication should take place only with his brother and with God, in prayer. If offended, a brother should go immediately to the offending brother.<sup>33</sup>

If the offender is obdurate, then the offended brother is to take one or two witnesses with him. The witnesses may or may not have been witnesses of the offence. What they are witnesses of is the effort to achieve reconciliation. If the offender will not acknowledge his fault and ask forgiveness, then the matter is to be presented to the church.<sup>34</sup> If the offender will not repent and

ask forgiveness when told to do so by the church, then he is to be excommunicated. The terms, heathen and publican are descriptive of the type of boycott to be exercised. The heathen were not allowed in the temple, so this was a severe ban. Publicans were social pariahs, so this was a limited boycott. One could not avoid contact with the person in society, but there was to be no friendship extended.

Another passage with similar portent is I Corinthians 6. Paul has just given instructions for dealing with the brother who has been practicing flagrant sin, by having an open incestuous relationship with his step mother. He tells the church to act as a court and pronounce sentence.<sup>35</sup> With the thought of a courtroom in mind, Paul immediately turns to the topic of Christians taking one another to court, suing each other before the civil courts of Gentiles.

- 1 *Does any one of you, when he has a case against his neighbor, dare to go to law before the unrighteous, and not before the saints?*
- 2 *Or do you not know that the saints will judge the world? And if the world is judged by you, are you not competent to constitute the smallest law courts?*
- 3 *Do you not know that we shall judge angels? How much more, matters of this life?*
- 4 *If then you have law courts dealing with matters of this life, do you appoint them as judges who are no account in the church?*
- 5 *I say this to your shame. Is it so, that there is not among you one wise man who will be able to decide between his brethren,*
- 6 *but brother goes to law with brother, and that before unbelievers?*
- 7 *Actually, then, it is already a defeat for you, that you have lawsuits with one another. Why not rather be wronged? Why not rather be defrauded?*
- 8 *On the contrary, you yourselves wrong and defraud, and that your brethren.*

Here, the church is declared to be the place to settle disputes between believers, rather than in a court of law, administered by the government. Paul does not spell out what sort of a body is to constitute the church court. Possibly the Acts 15 pattern is assumed. In that instance, the church leadership came together and "heard the case" before the church. Any who wanted to do so were allowed to listen in, but the leadership functioned like a court of appeals. So, if there are matters of such nature that unbelievers normally would take to court, Christians would take these matters before the church.

These two passages of Scripture present two scenarios in which offended people are given a paradigm for dealing with their hurts. I believe that there is a higher way. It is interesting that we have these two passages that give a pattern for dealing with offenses and disputes that picture some corrective act on the part of the offended believer. However, we have a whole catalogue of New Testament Scriptures that imply or state that it is better to forgive the offense and ignore it.

First, we turn to Paul's letter to the Philippian Church. In his various letters, Paul uses some terms that are very instructive concerning the Church. The term that the Holy Spirit chose to use for the Kingdom of Christ on earth, in this age, is *ekklesia* (ἐκκλησία). This word means, "The called out ones." It is a designation for citizenship in one of the Greek city-states. A Greek city state was called a *polis* (πόλις). In each *polis* there was a mixed society. There were slaves, freedmen, and non-citizens from other places. The citizens of the *polis* took very seriously their

responsibility as citizens. Every citizen was a participant in the welfare of the city. The purest democracy ever to exist in a political entity probably was exemplified in the *polis*. When decisions for the *polis* had to be made a crier went through the streets calling the citizens to a town meeting. Only the citizens could attend the meeting. Thus, the gathering of the citizens was called the *ekklesia*, "The called out ones."

So, first we note that the church consists of that select group called out of society to form an entity apart from the rest of the world. We are not called to change society, but to create an alternative society.

The second family of words that come into view here are those that spring from *polis*, relating to citizenship. The first word is found in Philippians 3:20,

*For our citizenship is in heaven, from which also we eagerly wait for a Saviour, the Lord Jesus Christ.*

The term translated, "citizenship," is *politeuma* (πολίτευμα), which literally means, "commonwealth." The term denotes a colony of foreigners in an alien country. In a colony, the citizens do not follow the mores of the nation in which they live, but reflect the country whose colony they are. A good example would be the English colonies in India, which continued to have afternoon tea, etc. The colony and the home nation share in one another's fortunes and misfortunes. This is the sense of "commonwealth." Thus, this term pictures the church as a colony of heaven in a foreign society, earth. The colony lives by the standards of and the mores of heaven.

A second word of this family of terms found in Philippians is in 1:27,

*Only conduct yourselves in a manner worthy of the gospel of Christ; so that whether I come and see you or remain absent, I may hear of you that you are standing firm in one spirit, with one mind striving together for the faith of the gospel.*

In this verse, the term translated, "conduct yourselves," is the term, *politeuesthe*<sup>36</sup> (πολιτεύσθε), which means "discharge your obligations as citizens."

Through these terms used to describe the Church and church members, we see a picture of an alternative society (the Church) which lives by a different standard, code, etc., than the world in which it exists. What is that code? Basically, it is the example of Jesus. Paul said, *Be imitators of me, just as I also am of Christ* (I Corinthians 11:1); *Therefore be imitators of God* (Ephesians 1:1); *You also became imitators of us and of the Lord* (I Thessalonians 1:6). Paul's desire was that those who came to Christ through him would look to the model of Jesus Christ for how to live. Paul sought to follow Christ and model the life style of Jesus Christ before them, since they had not seen Jesus for themselves.

One of the most striking statements concerning following the example of Jesus is in I Peter 2:21,



*For you have been called for this purpose, since Christ also suffered for you, leaving you an example for you to follow in His steps*

The word translated, "example," is *hupogrammos* (ὑπογραμμός). This term referred to the handwriting model that teachers presented to students who were learning to write. The Greek child would have a wax tablet. Affixed to the top of the page was a copper plate with Greek words written on it. The student was to copy the words over and over and over until he could reproduce the handwriting exactly as it was presented on the *hupogrammos*, the model or example before him.

By the use of this term in I Peter 2:21, Peter is saying that we are to focus intently on the life of Christ. We are to be concerned about details and we are to reproduce exactly the model presented by Jesus.

Notice that Peter writes this in the midst of a section describing how Christians are to conduct themselves in an alien society. The *ekklesia* who have their citizenship in the commonwealth of heaven are to conduct themselves according to the model of Jesus, not according to the pattern of the society in which they live, nor according to the tendencies of the flesh.

Most relevant to our quest is what Peter illustrates by his language. He is telling us how to handle persecution and offenses, when we have been slandered, accused, or abused, when we have done no wrong. We are to do it the way that Jesus did it,

*Who committed no sin, nor was any deceit found in His mouth; and while being reviled, He did not revile in return; while suffering, He uttered no threats, but kept entrusting Himself to Him who judges righteously.*

How did Jesus do it? He forgave it and ignored it, trusting Himself to the God who judges righteously. We are hard pressed to find any example of Jesus going to someone who had sinned against him or wronged him and trying to make things right. He did openly expose the hypocrites when they confronted him, but He continually modeled and taught forgiveness.

Back to Philippians. In Philippians 4:1-9, Paul gives summary details about living in this world. In verse 5, he wrote,

*Let your forbearing spirit be known to all men.*

Here is an exhortation to forgive and not even take notice of wrongs done against us. This is exactly what Paul wrote in I Corinthians 13:5c,

*[Love]...is not provoked, does not take into account a wrong suffered.*

The expression, "take into account," is *logidzetai* (λογίζεσθαι), which has the idea of "noticing," or, "meditating upon," It also is used to denote a "ledger of accounts." So, Paul states that if we love one another, we will not take notice of wrongs done against us. We will be longsuffering, forgiving, and ignoring of such affronts.

We could go on and on presenting passages that have this flavor..."forgive and ignore." Yet, there are only two passages, Matthew 18 and I Corinthians 6, that speak of a wounded believer's going to the offender to do something about it. One of these, I Corinthians 6, says that it is better to be defrauded than to do something about it (I Corinthians 6:7). This is just another expression of Jesus teaching in the Sermon on the Mount (Matthew 5:38-48),

*You have heard that it was said, "An eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth." But I say to you, do not resist an evil person; but whoever slaps you on your right cheek, turn the other to him also. If anyone wants to sue you and take your shirt, let him have your coat also. Whoever forces you to go one mile, go with him two. Give to him who asks of you, and do not turn away from him who wants to borrow from you. You have heard that it was said, "You shall love your neighbor and hate your enemy." But I say to you, love your enemies and pray for those who persecute you, so that you may be sons of your Father who is in heaven; for He causes His sun to rise on the evil and the good, and sends rain on the righteous and the unrighteous. For if you love those who love you, what reward do you have? Do not even the tax collectors do the same? If you greet only your brothers, what more are you doing than others? Do not even the Gentiles do the same? Therefore you are to be perfect, as your heavenly Father is perfect.*

My own experience has been that when we take action to try to straighten things out, especially when we have been slandered or falsely accused, we muddy the water more than we clear things up. In case after case I have seen church leadership, especially, create more of a mess by trying to clarify things with those who have gossiped about them or sinned against them in some way.

What do we do with Matthew 18, then? I believe that Matthew 18 and Galatians 6:1 are motivated by the same concern, the spiritual survival of the offending believer. The only reason that a spiritual believer would go to one who has sinned against him is because he is worried about the salvation of the offender. Usually, one who has committed an offense, deals with guilty feelings. He has trouble being open and thus, manifests behaviour that is harmful to the church. The motive that would drive us to seek out the offender and try to talk with him is because we are worried about his spiritual survival.

Again, out of past experience, I believe that prayer and the guidance of the Holy Spirit are essential in these matters. Our usual *modus operandi* should be to forgive, forget, and ignore the sin against us. This is the model of Jesus. However, out of concern for the erring believer, the Holy Spirit might say to us, "Go talk to him." In such a case, we go humbly, and prayerfully, not in the sense of an Elijah who is going to set things straight.

## CONCLUSION

One can reach no other conclusion than this, "Relationships are of primary importance in the economy of God." Every follower of Jesus will do all that he can to maintain the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace; to be at peace with all men; to bear the burdens of his brothers and sisters; to live a forgiving life; to consider others more important than himself; to do all that he can to heal fractured relationships; to care about the spiritual survival of all believers; to seek to

be a blessing to others in the Body of Christ. Every believer will realize that he is not what God wants him to be, apart from his place and function in the Church.

© Copyright 1997 Doulos Press, Tulsa, Oklahoma.

Permission is hereby granted to anyone wishing to make copies for free distribution.

---

<sup>1</sup>Garrett, James W., "Authority: A Biblical Overview," *New Testament Church Leadership*, (Tulsa, Oklahoma, Doulos Press, 1996) Pgs 203-222

<sup>2</sup>For an excellent and detailed article on the Dura-Europos house church, see: Graydon and Snyder, *Ante Pacem*, Mercer University Press, Macon, GA, 1985, pg 67-71.

<sup>3</sup>For a brief discussion, see, Sanday and Headlam, *The International Critical Commentary*, THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS, Charles Scribner and Sons, New York, 1896, pgs 425-426.

<sup>4</sup>Lard is a notable exception (Lard, Moses E., *Commentary on Romans*, Standard Publishing, Cincinnati, 1875, pg 457)

<sup>5</sup>I Corinthians 12:31

<sup>6</sup>Second person plural imperatives can be used in a "distributive" sense (each one of you) or a "collective" sense (all of you together). There are times when it is difficult to determine which sense is meant by the author. Context is the determinative factor, but context sometimes allows for either understanding. It seems to me that *zeloute de ta charismata ta meizona* (ζηλοῦτε δὲ τὰ χάρισματὰ τὰ μείζονα) *zeloute*, being the second person plural, present imperative, is collective in this verse. I believe this to be true because this is consistent with the point made in the verses immediately preceding. Verse 1 of Chapter 14, may lean toward a distributive interpretation, but the goal still would be for the collective good of the local church.

<sup>7</sup>I John 2:19; Titus 3:10; Romans 16:17; Acts 20:30

<sup>8</sup>The Greek term, *sumphero*, communicates the idea of profit or advantage. In each passage, it must be determined by the context whether the advantage is a personal one or a community one. The term is used with both meanings in the New Testament. In I Corinthians 12:7, it clearly refers to benefitting the community of the saints, rather than the individual through which the manifestation comes.

<sup>9</sup>Romans 16:16; I Corinthians 16:20; II Corinthians 13:12; First Thessalonians 5:26; I Peter 5:14 (kiss of love [*agape*])

<sup>10</sup>It could be argued that Peter and John's going to Samaria (Acts 8:5ff) is another example of one group's helping another group, i.e., the Jerusalem Church's sending two leaders to help the Samaritan Church. However, since the terms, "church," "disciples," or other such designations that would indicate a group are not used for the Samaritans, I do not feel that I can legitimately use Samaria as an example to build the case we are arguing here.

<sup>11</sup>2 Corinthians 9:3ff

<sup>12</sup>2 Corinthians 8:2-4

<sup>13</sup>FIRST JOURNEY: Acts 13:1-3 is the record of Antioch's "releasing" (Greek-*apoluo*, "to release" or "to turn loose") of Barnabas and Saul, to go do what the Holy Spirit had called them to do. A

---

“furlough” at Antioch of a little more than a year is recorded in Acts 14:26-28. SECOND JOURNEY: Acts 15:40-41 is the record of Antioch's commending Paul and Silas to the grace of God as the second journey is begun. Acts 18:22-23 records Paul's return to Antioch (53 A.D.), where he spent a few months before his extensive work in Ephesus. THIRD JOURNEY: Acts 18:23 states curtly, "And having spent some time there, he departed and passed successfully through Galatia..." After this departure (53 A.D.), Paul did not return to Antioch. He and his teams were itinerant ministries that spent long periods of time in some places and very brief stays in others. Note: Some confusion has been created by the phrase, "I must by all means keep this feast that cometh at Jerusalem," (v 21). This phrase is an interpolation that was not in the original text. This phrase has been omitted from all translations done in the last 100 years, except for those that have used the Textus Receptus (KJV and older English versions are based on the Textus Receptus).

<sup>14</sup>Galatians 2:20

<sup>15</sup>2 Corinthians 3:4-6a

<sup>16</sup>I Corinthians 15:10

<sup>17</sup>Romans 12:3

<sup>18</sup>Dana, H.E., and Mantey, Julius R., *A Manual Grammar of the Greek New Testament*, (Toronto, Macmillan, 1927), p. 265

<sup>19</sup>I Peter 4:10

<sup>20</sup>I Corinthians 12:7

<sup>21</sup>*Epichoregia* is found only twice in the New Testament, here and in Philippians 1:19, where it refers to the Holy Spirit's provision for Paul.

<sup>22</sup>Some, such as Calvin, take verse 21 as an independent clause, making the participle an imperative. However, for that to be true, *este* would have to be supplied and there is nothing to suggest this. For a discussion of this matter, see Salmond, S.D.F., "Epistle to the Ephesians," in *The Expositors Greek Testament*, (Grand Rapids, Wm. B. Eerdmans Printing Co., 1976) Vol. III, p.364

<sup>23</sup>In classical Greek, the verb, *psallo*, which is the root for the word, *psalmos*, meant "to pluck, pull, twitch, twang, (send an arrow "twanging" from the bow; to pluck a stringed instrument with the fingers rather than with the plectron [a pick]). A *psalmos* was a song played on a stringed instrument, or a song sung to the accompaniment of a stringed instrument.

<sup>24</sup>That this is a co-ordinate, rather than a sub-ordinate clause is evident from the Greek construction. If this were a subordinate clause (adding something to the preceding clause) the Greek construction would be *ek tes kardias* or *kata ten kardian*, rather than *en te kardia*. All popular versions, except NAS and RSV, translate this as "in your heart." NAS and RSV have "interpreted," rather than, "translated," the preposition, *en*).

<sup>25</sup> Paul described these in I Timothy 4:2, as having a "seared conscience."

<sup>26</sup>The "Fellowship of the saints," is a concept that was held dear in the Church for centuries. Only in this century has this concept slipped from the consciousness of most church groups. The fellowship of the saints always has been centered about the Lord's Table. The sense of partaking of

---

the Lord's Supper is a type of fellowship with those who throughout all of the ages partook of the loaf and cup, and fellowshiping with those who throughout the world would be partaking in that same 24 hour period, was precious to past generations. Unfortunately, we live in a self focused age that has little consciousness of the past nor any link with it. This partly is the result of American Christianity, in which new movements, radically different church paradigms, and the focus on the "immediate," functions as if life, truth, and the church all were created in the last half of the 20th Century.

In the same way, the Lord's Table has become a neglected option in most of the newer churches. In many, it never is observed. In others, it is something done in a back room in a small group, but never something that the whole church does together. For some, it is an occasional event, but not a real part of church-life. Instead, the Sunday gathering is focused on excitement, the charismatic personality of the "pastor" (incorrectly so labeled, because most are CEOs of a thriving corporation, rather than shepherds).

All testimony and evidence available to us indicates that the Apostolic and Post-Apostolic Church met primarily to partake of the Lord's Supper. It was the central aspect of the Sunday gathering. Thus, Paul's argument in I Corinthians 10 would be persuasive in his day. Today, it wouldn't carry much weight.

<sup>27</sup>Leviticus 3:3; 7:15

<sup>28</sup>Of course, those things that are sin, without question, are spelled out in Scripture. Galatians 5:19-21 contains one catalogue of obvious sin. These obvious sins are not within the purview of this discussion.

<sup>29</sup>This is the sense of Romans 6:6ff. The picture presented in this passage is of one who is a helpless slave to sin. At baptism, the old self is buried and a new self comes forth from the baptismal water. The new self no longer is a helpless slave, but one who has the power to choose. The baptized believer is exhorted to live with this attitude toward sin, and to make the right choices.

<sup>30</sup>Matthew 20:25-28

<sup>31</sup>The Jerusalem Church is the only church that practiced communal living. The Jerusalem Church followed this practice in the first few years of its existence because of the non-Palestinian converts who accepted Christ on the Day of Pentecost and then remained in Jerusalem. They did not have jobs nor houses in which to live. Therefore, an unusual situation existed which caused the Christians, in love for one another, to give up their personal property so that none would be lacking. This was completely voluntary, as is seen from Acts 5:3-4

<sup>32</sup>Matthew 18:21

<sup>33</sup>The Greek in this instance is *elegxon*, which means to convict or convince the offender of his fault, without delay.

<sup>34</sup>By the term, "church," Jesus either meant the group of believers already in existence (the disciples, possibly), or he is speaking prophetically of future local churches.

<sup>35</sup>I Corinthians 5:12-13

<sup>36</sup>*politeuomai* (πολιτεύομαι) in its primary form